

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003      LB 249

apparently supporting in the Legislature a 90-day...no 90-day rule in certain circumstances. Are you aware of that discrepancy? Or is it a discrepancy, in your opinion?

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Let me make sure I understand what you're saying. You're saying that if you do a transfer, we'll call it, say you transfer from, to pick an example, one Lincoln high school to another Lincoln high school, or if you would transfer from a parochial school in Lincoln to a public school in Lincoln, or a public school to a parochial school. Then my understanding of NSAA's rule is that you would be required to lay out...or, not participate in varsity athletics for 90 days...varsity extracurricular, I shouldn't just say athletics, for 90 days from the beginning of the school year. On the other hand, with...if...

SENATOR BEUTLER: And that's regardless of when you make the decision to do that?

SENATOR RAIKES: That's right. That's right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Or what the school policy is with regard to when you can do that?

SENATOR RAIKES: Right. And if this...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...bill were to pass, then you would be able to, if you were an option student, say, moving from Waverly to Lincoln, or something like that, then as long as you did it before July 1, you made the option before July 1, depending on the circumstances, then you could participate. So I want to make sure that that is the area of inequity or unequal treatment that you're concerned with.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. And I haven't seen the exact NSAA rules. So I will accept your definition of where the discrepancy exists. Let me repeat it to see if I understand it. If under the option policy you make a decision before July 1, you don't have any 90-day waiting period. Is that right?