

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003      LB 249

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, Senator Chambers. The way the amendment reads, it does not include the word "maximum." And we are put...inserting the word "maximum." So in consideration of the larger schools that have many different groups, that we would...the board would look at what the...what they think would be the maximum amount that a group...a musical group might need. So that other...if we did not have the term "maximum" in there, they would probably have to set a fee for maybe 16 musical groups, you know, for each particular group.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you mean that all of these groups are lumped together,...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and you total up what the fees might be, and then...

SENATOR STUHR: Oh, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...list that?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, no, not the total. It's just as if you might have one group that it might cost...you know, might be \$10. You might have another group...in junior high, might be \$10; in senior high, might be \$30. So they would put the maximum of \$30, so as they wouldn't have to designate each single. You might ask Senator Raikes if he can better explain that, if you...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what I understand from what you just said, there might be three different fees charged at different levels for the same activity. So rather than giving the amount of each one of those, you take whichever one is charging the highest, and that's the fee amount that you give?

SENATOR STUHR: Well, not really.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll ask Senator Raikes.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.