

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003      LB 249

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes, would you respond?

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR BROWN: As a follow-up to Senator Janssen's questions, the curricular and academic impact...I mean, for students who are choosing to option, they...there won't be any change for them, that are choosing to option just for curricular reasons or convenience or whatever else?

SENATOR RAIKES: Not any that I can think of. I think you're right. There...you know, this is meant to pertain strictly to the participation in a varsity extracurricular activity.

SENATOR BROWN: Senator Stuhr said that the NSAA enforces a 90-day rule for athletic participation right now. Does...do we need legislation for the other activities that are included in this bill?

SENATOR RAIKES: Let me under...let me make sure I understand your question. So are you asking, suppose...well, a simple example. Suppose a non-varsity, a junior varsity or something, athletic participation, are you asking, do we need to include that level of extracurricular activity?

SENATOR BROWN: No, I'm just wondering why we need legislation, if...unless it's about...I assume that if the NSAA is enforcing the 90-day rule for athletics already, then we must be feeling the need to pass legislation for the varsity debate and some of the other things that you mentioned. I mean,...

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, I'll make an effort to respond, but I want to make sure that Senator Stuhr gets an opportunity to correct my inaccuracies. My understanding is that in a sense, currently, what is being provided by the option student part of the bill could in fact happen. For example, if you did not want a student to be able to option after July 1 and participate, it's possible under current rule that simply the sending school or the receiving school or the coach would say, no, you...we're not going to allow that. To some extent, I think the effort