

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2003 LB 146

and get an understanding of how this would work. But it says that the individual immunity granted shall not extend to any act of omission which results in damage or injury if, and then it has (a), (b), (c), a series of conditions to where it would not apply, and (b) is the one I wanted to focus on. It says, "The practitioner has been disciplined by the state examining board having oversight over that practitioner," and I assume it means has not been disciplined at any time in the previous five years from the time of the act or omission causing injury. Is that the intent, that their record should be clean for the previous five years?

SENATOR BROWN: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR BROWN: In many of these cases, these will be retired physicians or...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'm sorry, Senator, my ears are getting bad with this fan at my backside.

SENATOR BROWN: In many cases, these will be retired practitioners who are volunteering their services, and so it just means that in the last five years of their providing services, they have not been disciplined.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Now here's the part that I probably don't have a good grasp of. It says, 'The practitioner has been disciplined by the state examining board having oversight.' I assume it means the one having oversight at the current moment in time. Am I...

SENATOR BROWN: Well, actually, it's about the...

SENATOR BEUTLER: The thing I'm trying to...go ahead.

SENATOR BROWN: It's the different kinds of practitioners, because it's not just physicians. It's nurses and other practitioners. There are different boards. There are boards, disciplinary boards. There's one for each of the different