

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 15, 2003 LB 435

Senator Beutler to respond to it. Before I do that, I have a note here that I made to myself about a half an hour ago. I guess it's all the more on point, given Senator Chambers' recent statement, and I just want to make sure that everyone understands I offer it in all sincerity. It's not sarcastic. But Senator Chambers read a letter into the record from the Catholic Conference earlier in this debate. It reminded me about a year ago or maybe it was two years ago when he read verbatim about a dozen times into the record a provision from the Catholic catechism regarding nondiscrimination based on sexual orientation. I just want to acknowledge that I think it's appropriate that people draw from whatever sources they think necessary to form public policy opinions. There's nothing wrong with that, and I just wanted to note that for the record. To the bill itself, I understand the labor union position that a change in the minimum wage will have a stairstep effect on through the ranks, and the other position, I would say the broader position, is overall dignity of the worker, and I share that concern as well, but there's something that troubles me too. Ultimately, the dignity of the worker I think depends on getting a better job with better benefits and so forth. If we were going to guarantee that we actually wanted to pull family supporters out of poverty, we'd have to double the numbers in this bill right here I think, and I'm not prepared to do that. I don't know that that would fly. And that's not what's before us, so let me get back to the bill itself. I think what I've heard some employers saying is, here is a possible consequence if you do this. I'm going to have to raise my prices for whatever it is I provide to the public, and a possible consequence of that is that business goes down and then I'm going to have to get rid of some workers if I do that. Another possibility is, okay, I won't raise my prices. I'll just have to get rid of a worker or two on the front end. And so the question I want to pose to Senator Beutler, and again I characterize it as a friendly question but a necessary one, is it possible that we could end up hurting some of the very people that we're trying to help if we enact something like this? And, Senator Beutler, you can have the balance of my time.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler, you have 2 minutes and 20 seconds.