

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

SENATOR AGUILAR: Well, I would think there would have to be, simply because there are areas that have juvenile courts and there are areas that do not have juvenile courts.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Now, once these rules and regs are promulgated, these drug courts have to abide by the rules and regs written, even though we don't know what they're going to be yet? Is that the way this amendment reads?

SENATOR AGUILAR: That's probably...that's the way the amendment reads, and that's the way it was even in my bill. The Supreme Court would have the final say on rules and regs.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: So it will compel, for example,...again, the one I'm mostly concerned about, Douglas County Court,...it will compel that Douglas County Court drug court to adhere to the rules and regs that's going to be promulgated by the Supreme Court?

SENATOR AGUILAR: That's my understanding.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR AGUILAR: That does in no way mean that they'll change what they're doing.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: All right. You can have...

SENATOR AGUILAR: Maybe the Supreme Court will think that that's the best way to go and that's the way it will be.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Well, I just...I'm...like I said, Senator, I'm just...there's huge variances on the approach and the methodologies utilized. And I don't know...one or the other is going to have to give. We're either going to have a post-adjudication system, or a true diversion program like Douglas County does. One of them is going to have to give. I don't...if they're going to write statewide rules and regs for drug courts, there's going to be changes to some of these existing programs. And I'll...you can just go ahead and answer.