

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003      LB 454

would erase everything, it would be as though it never was, and they can't just do that. That part of it I'm not going to go into more deeply than I have already. The sticking point, first of all, is that we don't need this bill for these drug courts to do what they're doing. If, on the other hand, the intent is to write a statute to authorize the creation and operation of drug courts, and giving the power and authority to the Supreme Court to draw up rules and regulations for the running of that court, it should be done entirely differently from the way it's being done here. But the purpose of this bill was not to write a bill that would authorize drug courts and make their procedures uniform. It would allow every drug court that exists now to continue functioning as it is, some under the district court, at least one under the juvenile court. And I don't know what all of the others do. That activity is not being done by this bill. So the whole thing should be scrapped, and a new start should be made in conjunction with the Supreme Court and all of the courts in these counties that have drug courts now. And if there is to be uniformity, let there be a discussion...

**SPEAKER BROMM:** One minute.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** ...to show what form that uniformity should take. But for us to try to cobble things together here on the floor I think can lead only to disaster. And we're not going to wind up anywhere with anything that is of value anyway. That's my opinion. And Senator Aguilar, I was trying not to speak directly against the bill, because you gave me the time. But I wanted to point out some difficulties that I see with what we're doing. Thank you.

**SPEAKER BROMM:** Thank you, Senator Chambers and Aguilar. Senator Synowiecki.

**SENATOR SYNOWIECKI:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. And I just wanted to pick up on some comments made by Senator Schimek with regard to appearance bonds. And their primary purpose, obviously, is to ensure appearance. But it's been my experience that there are conditions placed on appearance bonds quite routinely and frequently. One that comes to mind is to have no contact with victims, as being a part...with a particular victim