

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

committing a crime. And violation for one of these drug program requirements is no more a crime than, if I agree to go to traffic school and I don't finish it, I've committed another traffic offense. No, it's not a new offense. It just means that the points are going to go against my license, and I failed to complete the program. That's all that is. The punishment goes with the failure. Failure to complete the drug program sends you back to the sentencing court. And I think that's enough. But if you are intent on letting judges send people to jail, you've got to say that violation of one of these programs, these procedures, is a crime, and you have to name it. Either it's a misdemeanor or a felony. Then you're putting a second crime on a person where the first one was a drug offense. And by putting the second one, you don't erase the first one; you stack another crime on that person. And I think that's preposterous.

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's why I say you got a rogue judge out there who is a numbskull. And if his hat never comes off his head,...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...it's because it's nailed on. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Aguilar.

SENATOR AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. First of all, Senator Chambers, I completely understand that you oppose my bill. And again want to thank you and be on the record for saying that you did offer to help me. He truly did that. And I know that he is actually doing that in his own special way right now. Also, I think it's only fair for me to point out that my judge that I'm referring to and he is referring to, is a female. So I wish he'd quit saying "he," just for the record, Senator. It's a she. Thank you. Next up, I would like to ask Senator Schimek a question.