

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

terms of controlling this animal that's growing here. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Beutler and Senator Aguilar. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would move to amend Senator Beutler's amendment. (FA1306, Legislative Journal page 1276.)

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Chambers, to open.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, since we are going to add amendments to the bill, I'm going to offer amendments to improve the amendments. This adds to what Senator Beutler has. And if anybody is listening, on page 2, in line 14, I would put a period after the word "program," and on the next line strike the words "within the court system," because it's clear that everything we're talking about in Senator Beutler's amendment, and even under the green copy, will be done within the court system, so I don't think that surplusage is necessary. We would have it read that this court program will be subject to rules which shall be promulgated by the Supreme Court for procedures to be implemented in the administration of such program, period. We don't need the additional words "within the court system." So I would strike those words, "within the court system." Then, in lines 16 and 17 on the same page, I would strike the words "of criminal behavior," and let the word "recidivism" stand on its own. Here's the way the existing language reads, starting in line 15 on page 2. "Such drug court program is designed to achieve a reduction in substance-abuse and recidivism of criminal behavior." Now, I've never seen the term "recidivism of criminal behavior." So I would strike those words, "of criminal behavior." Recidivism relates to relapsing into crime. So it would read in this fashion. "Such drug court program is designed to achieve a reduction in substance-abuse and recidivism." We don't need those words, "of criminal behavior." The occur up...higher up in the bill too, and I'm going to offer a separate amendment to do that. But I'm amending Senator Beutler's amendment. His goes to trying to put language in that