

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

probation.

SENATOR AGUILAR: Traditional probation.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: If they successfully complete a probation period, they will still have that drug conviction on their record.

SENATOR AGUILAR: I think they will.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Yes. They will. Yes. So I think it's important we differentiate between a probation and a drug court. And the very important aspect of it is diverting a criminal conviction for felony drugs. And I think it's important that that gets on the record, that that is the intent of the...a drug court. And also, a collateral consequence which is of value and is quite positive is that it lessens the load for the criminal justice system, including probation, county attorneys, public defenders' offices, and that. It is a way to promote efficiencies for the system. Isn't that correct?

SENATOR AGUILAR: You're absolutely correct. I want to thank you for pointing that out.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Aguilar, ... (microphone malfunction) ... rest of the time, you can have it.

SPEAKER BROMM: It's Senator Cunningham's time, I think. Does anyone want it? (Laughter) Thank you, Senator Synowiecki, Senator Cunningham, and Senator Aguilar. The next speaker would be Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Senator Beutler, with your amendment, as I read it, you would just limit it to district judges. Is that correct? Is that...?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. Senator, the amendment does say district courts.