

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

right thing to do in this bill. I felt it was a good idea from what I had heard and what I'd visited with Senator Aguilar, and reading the bill. I'm not a lawyer, as everybody knows. But the point of it is, it appeared that you were giving somebody who was convicted of a crime, a drug crime, a second chance to prove themselves and get back out into society, which is what we all want to do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's being done right now. What he wants to do, because a judge wanted it, is to allow a separate jailing, over here under the drug court procedure, for not committing a crime. And that's what I'm opposed to.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I can hear what you're saying. And I'm just trying to rationalize in my own mind how this can work and still provide the kind of...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: ...the kind of sanctions, or the kind of penalty that we want to impose for people who don't carry out what a court or a judge has ordered them to do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In Douglas, Sarpy, and Lancaster, it's being done right now,...

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...without this.

SENATOR VRTISKA: All right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right now.

SENATOR VRTISKA: But it's not being done where Senator Aguilar is talking about, and he's trying to make it across the state...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We shouldn't change the whole law for one rogue situation.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Okay. All right. I'll sit back and listen