

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

punishment. The way...

SENATOR VRTISKA: So that's...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Go ahead.

SENATOR VRTISKA: So that's the only kind of penalty that can be...in your opinion, can be imposed...can be...the only kind of penalty can be imposed if you fail to carry out an order is you can be charged with contempt and then sentenced?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it's a court order. The only power that a court has to protect itself is by contempt.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, aren't these court orders when they ask you to participate in this program?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. This is where a person makes a request to be granted permission. Now, you can say if you want to that the court enters an order allowing this. But it's not where the court is giving you a directive which says, do this because the court says you must, because the court orders it. It's where the court is granting you permission to do what you requested.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if you fail, then you go back to court and you're sentenced under the original offense that you committed. That is the hammer.

SENATOR VRTISKA: You weren't listening when I first talked, because you were busy. But I was just trying to explain to Senator Aguilar that he convinced me and I signed on to this bill simply because he convinced me what I thought were good points in able to sanction somebody for failure to carry out what had...what they'd been ordered to do. Now you're putting a different spin on it. And I guess since he was the one that was able to convince me to sign on this bill, I'm going to sit back and let you convince me that it's not a good idea. And I'm willing to do that. But I want to have adequate reason from you and from Senator Aguilar to get to the...what I think is the