

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 14, 2003 LB 454

themselves, so that all the drug courts are basically exactly the same. As far as your other question, I'd like to add to it when you were talking about funding. I think it's necessary to understand that a portion of that funding is also put forth by the cities and counties in the location, as well as the drug court participant himself.

SENATOR TYSON: Okay. Thank you very much, Senator Aguilar. Ladies and gentlemen, I don't want anyone here to feel that I am...I am a cosponsor of this bill. These are some questions that came up as a result of talking to an agitator that we have here in the Chamber. Chambers. And the only thing that concerns me is that down the road this will become a fiscal burden. And that's why I am wondering...and I don't have an answer; I mean, I just don't know...if this is the final...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR TYSON: ...if this is the final makeup of the court, or should it be taken further? Should it be taken further now under LB 454 as an amendment, or will that evolve with time to where there is a...right now it appears to me that there's no structure to this thing. In other words, the courts are doing as they wish. And I'm not quarreling with that. I think that's a good thing if it gets people away from narcotics, from drugs. But I'm just wondering if this is sufficiently structured. And that's the point of the questions that I asked Senator Aguilar. I do support this bill, and even if it is an initial step or the finished product, I'm going to vote to advance it. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Tyson. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion of LB 454. Senator Synowiecki.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members. Senator Aguilar, would you yield to...?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Aguilar?

SENATOR AGUILAR: Yes.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: It's my understanding that the benefit of