

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 46

yield on this issue of, we're going to try and have a statewide model. What we've got now isn't working. What we've got now is not enough. But we're going to take half of the money which we'll be able to raise in this instance, and we're going to give it to these six regions, and these six regions, which are under the Department of Health and Human Services, which all have boards, these six boards will decide what is best in their region for community corrections services. Well, that's a good way to have uniform services within the region, but disparate and different services among each of the six regions. And my only plea is that since this idea has sprung from whole cloth here in, quote, recent days, that we drop back, that we not take this idea as it's presented in this amendment, that we put it through a study and hearing process, that it not only have the benefit of Senator Synowiecki's input, but of other people over a longer period of time, and that we can come back and we can do this. But in the meantime, the Community Corrections Council will begin the process of establishing one statewide community corrections system. And I trust that we have legislator...we will have legislators on that council, we will have private service providers, we will have people with as much, and maybe even more experience than Senator Synowiecki, who can contribute to a process that is not duplicative, that is not inefficient, and that is not the only way of accomplishing things. This is the beginning of a very, very important exercise. And I'm asking that we begin together at the state level. Because we've been waiting for all kinds of things to develop at the local level and at the regional level, and they aren't there, and they haven't happened. And we've got this one moment in time that we've been allowed by history, by a convergence of circumstances, prison overcrowding, corrections expense, all kinds of things that are allowing us to do this relatively significant work that will be important to a lot of people's well-being, whether they're under the system, in the system, or concerned with the safety about the system, or paying the bill. And I'm simply...I'm not trying to be negative about the concept that's involved in the amendment. I'm simply saying, we didn't think we could write a total bill that would answer all the questions for ever and ever that would be a one-time slam dunk. And it isn't. It is what I've characterized it as, a beginning. And I'm asking that we do the beginning in an orderly way,