

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003      LB 46, 688

the course Nebraska should take. We don't want a situation where you cannot make these transfers from state to state only because Nebraska has failed to join this compact. In many instances, Nebraska gets the better of the deal by sending somebody someplace else. It sometimes works the other way. But overall it balances out and it's a tool that should be available to those who have to run a corrections department. And I always, when I get a chance to direct something to my friend, Senator Friend, I like to do that. He pays attention. That's why I single him out. Senator Friend, in this case, it's similar to what my LB 688 does. Until a certain number of states do this, it has no effect in law. In this case, Nebraska is the one that is joining toward the end. With LB 688, Nebraska has the chance to be the leader and let others join us. And for those who don't know what LB 688, that's to offer a stipend to the players. But this is a very good proposal that is before us, and although I said it once, I want to say it again while I look at them. Senator Schimek, Senator Pedersen, would that all of the legislation you two brought would be as good as this. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I just want to throw out a couple of questions and ask Senator Pedersen and Senator Brashear on their own time to respond. I noticed it's very easy to get out of this if you want to get out of it. So that's consoling, to start with. The one provision I wanted to ask about is, on page 25, on lines 14 through 16, it talks about the binding effect of the compact on other laws, and goes on to say, nothing in here prevents the enforcement of any other law of a compacting state that is not inconsistent with this compact, inferring, I think, that if another law of this state is inconsistent with the compact, that compact law would prevail. And I haven't had time to read this. I'm not fully aware of the scope or the powers of the compact. But I would just ask each of you to perhaps talk a little bit about whether you think there currently are any substantial laws of the state that would be overruled by compact laws, and/or, in the future, whether you think this compact is capable of making any laws