

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 367

SENATOR SCHROCK: DEQ...DEQ sets the rules on that. We have not looked into it in recent years, but I suppose we could.

SENATOR KREMER: Do you know of any other small stations that it's been a pretty financial hardship for them to be able to clean up their facility?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I know they've...I know of a spot they had to clean up in Holdrege, and I never did hear the details of who paid for what.

SENATOR KREMER: Well, I...this situation I know that it's been a concern for quite awhile, and I've spent some time speaking with DEQ about it, and they keep looking into it. And the way it's written now, they can't really do much else about it. But it really is causing a hardship on a station that actually closed ten years ago. So my objection, if I do vote against it, would be just because I think we should not be robbing the funds out of the LUST fund. I do think it's a good cause, Senator Chambers, and with that, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Jones, on the Schrock amendment.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, and members of the body, this kind of come up sudden yesterday. I don't like robbing one group to go to the other ones. But I can kind of give you a little bit of back history on the LUST fund because before the way it was managed it was having contracts out there to clean up tanks or monitoring wells being drilled, and all of a sudden they run clear out of money and was really short. Now it's built up some money, and then we do have the money in there to do it. But...and we did take some out last year for General Fund. I guess I'm a little concerned about how far is this going to go into the principal part of it, and I wonder if Senator Schrock would have...answer a question for me.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you respond?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes.