

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 367

be a very credible exercise of our delegation of funds, I think that it's inappropriate in this case and in these circumstances. If we have excess money in the LUST fund, and according to Senator Schrock, who should know, we do, and it's continually being funded, then we should either adjust the income of that fund, or, since we're going to be very short on revenue, we have plenty of places for the money. I think that Omaha, the city of Omaha now, should find the money. And if they cannot, then they should come back. They should be the first responder. They should look at their own resources, and they should respond from those resources. This is a good cause. I'm not gainsaying that one bit. A better cause would be to spend this money, or whatever, on actually testing the children; and if necessary, providing the treatment for them, because I've been told that it is a treatment that works. And we do have a medical doctor here. Would Dr. Johnson respond to a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Johnson. Senator Doctor.

SENATOR JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR TYSON: Does chelation work?

SENATOR JOHNSON: That's somewhat disputable but there is people that think it does. But there is no question that you need to detect these children early, and that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JOHNSON: ...this is an important subject. So I think it needs to be done one way or the other, whether it's by the combined amendment or by what you're suggesting with the city of Omaha. But it's something that needs to be done.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Tyson. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'd waive.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler waives the opportunity to