

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 367

that light. The only other thing I will say, and maybe make somebody unhappy, but I will say, in all honesty and fairness, that a few years ago we wasted more money than Senator Chambers is going to get in this bill with the LUST fund. With that, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Tyson, on the Schrock amendment.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm probably the only person that's going to stand up and say that I'm going to vote against this amendment. And so I thought that a decent regard required that I tell my reasons why. I lived and worked in a high-lead risk area for 24 years; had my blood being checked on a regular basis throughout each year. And I'm fully in sympathy with the fact that people can ingest lead and that it does have a long-term effect. That effect is capable, however, of being mitigated through a process I believe is called "chelation" and I would rather see money like this spent for examining children and treating them than saying, well, there might be. One can be determined with certainty. The other one cannot. Also, I would point out that this body has, during the time I've been here, six-plus sessions, has sent millions and millions and millions of dollars to Omaha, to the city of Omaha, for a variety of purposes. We did so in the last session; part of the cigarette tax, as I recall. We should not be the deep pocket of first resort on something like this. If this is important, and I am not detracting one bit from its importance, if this is important, what has the city of Omaha done? They are in the position of first responder on this. If we want to go look for good causes, they are not thin on the ground. There are many, many of them. But I think that people have to come to us as a responder at the last resort, not the first resort. The city of Omaha, from what Senator Chambers said yesterday, has already spent millions of dollars in this pursuit. The federal act goes back to 1992, and I don't know how long Omaha has been involved in it, but I would assume that they were alert to it. I know there's been a news story there, as it should be, for some years. I do know that in a few months, maybe a year, they are going to have more money come in, and again I assume in the millions. And while this may