

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003      LB 743

our constituents aren't going to say. And that's the truth, absolutely the truth. Here I'm talking, rambling on here. But my constituents want this. The lobbyists are working with them, working with the groups to do this. And if the lobbyists come up with a solution and they agree to it, working through whomever, why, that's good enough for me, because those people back there are my constituents, speaking through them, third voice, fourth, what you want to call it. Anyway, this isn't mandatory. This is not mandatory. If you want to put your name on the list, put it on. If you don't, don't. So be it. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Erdman, you are the next speaker.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature. Despite the pressure from chairman of the committee, I'm not going to call the question. I do want to bring up a couple points. And I think it's valid. I think what Senator Mines has brought out is valid, as far as some of the concerns. And I think that Senator Smith, Senator Baker, are willing to work on it. I think Senator Redfield also brings up a good point, and that is the duplication issue. I think we need to be clear, though, that our citizens have been paying for a no-call list for the last four and a half years, and we don't have one nationally. They pay taxes. Congress says, we're going to act. The funding is there for the FTC, FCC, through fees and also probably through general fund from federal government, as well as what happens in Congress. So they're already paying for it, true. It's not free. So regardless of whether Congress does it or we do it, our citizens are going to pay for it. And likely, they could probably see it on their telephone bill. So there is a difference in the philosophy here. But I would also say this. We've been waiting for four and a half years. July, we're supposed to have something in place in the federal government to address some of these issues. Not all. Senator Smith, Senator Baker have pointed out, intrastate calls would not apply. I was talking to Senator Redfield, and also international calls. We're now receiving some of those. I'm not sure those would be covered. So I think there is a value in moving this forward. So from a standpoint