

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 743

Hartnett mentioned the phone bill line. I don't know. The bill does not say how they pay that \$5, although Senator Baker or Smith might know. The amendment reduces that fee to \$3. For a fee of \$3, an individual may be placed on the list. Again, I...they say that it's a one-time fee. And you know, perhaps that's reasonable. I don't know. When Senator Cudaback talks about this complaint from his constituents, he's right. But they also complain about the cost of their phone bill. Half of a phone bill are taxes now, if you look at it. So we're adding to that. The federal law does not charge any money to be placed on the no-call list. And I also wanted to clarify, Senator Smith said that there's a long list of exemptions. Well, you know, he's half right, because under the rules adopted by the FTC, there's a number of entities that are liable. And what he's talking about is those entities that are under the control of the Federal Communications Commission. And that is the airlines, the banking, and the insurance, and those industries. But what I tried to say to you earlier is that the Federal Communications Commission, that entity that polices the airlines and any insurance and the banking and that, they've adopted a similar no-call list, just like the FTC has. So the list of exemptions he's talking about is taken care of through the rules and regs...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...promulgated by the FCC. Let me just read this. On March 11, 2003, the Do-Not-Call Implementation Act was signed into law, requiring the FCC to issue a final rule in the above caption proceeding within 180 days of March 11, 2003. So when Senator Smith says that the banking, the airline, and the insurance industry are not included, he's only half right. Because the FCC has acted, and those entities are also included in the federal no-call list. And then, as I mentioned to Senator Baker, there's also some HIPAA requirements, that Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, that regulate what insurers can do in terms of telemarketing. So again, I think the federal law has encompassed all these people. I don't know about the charitable organizations. I'm simply saying that the problem will be solved by the federal government. We do not need to act. California basically withdrew from a bill similar