

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 265A

the NCIC check is not perfect. The local state records are not perfect. What the sheriff knows under the very best of circumstances are not perfect. But this is what...you can sit here and construct all sorts of suppositions. But in real life, I don't think they happen.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Well, Senator Tyson, thank you.

SENATOR TYSON: And so it's difficult for me to answer your question on that basis.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Well, thank you. I guess what you're saying, if I understand it...and this gets back to the fiscal note, and the county liabilities and what happens in terms of the sheriff having to reissue that permit without adequate information. And if a county wants to protect itself, then they should get the check, which we know costs the \$33.

SENATOR TYSON: Twenty-three.

SENATOR THOMPSON: And then there's no...and that's...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...an actual...like, I'd call it an out-of-pocket cost. We're going to pay, when the State Patrol does that, an additional \$17 for them. So the counties come out with zero on the renewal of a permit. And the county has to do the exact same thing that it did the first time. It has...the county sheriff's office has to attest that the person isn't habitually drunk, some of those judgment calls about their mental health state. And I think they're just as vulnerable under the renewal as they are under the original issuance of the permit. And yet, we're only going to give a renewal fee of \$50. And I don't see how the county sheriff would feel comfortable issuing under the rules of the act without having a second NCIC report, criminal history record check before reissuing that permit. And...

SPEAKER BROMM: Time.