

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 10, 2003 LB 265A

and I are talking about now, from page 12, subsection (3) of the committee amendment, there is a cross-reference to a Section 28-401, but that section does not say anything about the concentration that has to be in the blood or urine, so any amount would put a person in violation of the permit.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, with the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If he or she is carrying a handgun.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Senator Chambers. Except, a portion I haven't been reading, if we read on, beyond line...or, beginning at line 11, in the second half of the line, "A permit holder does not violate this subsection if the controlled substance in his or her blood, urine, or breath was lawfully obtained and was taken in therapeutically prescribed amounts." So you are correct. Any amount in the blood is a violation of the concealed handgun carry act, unless it was there as a result of having ingested it because of a therapeutic prescription to do so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if a person ingests alcohol at church and goes home and conceals the weapon, that person is in violation of the permit, if there is any detectable amount...

SPEAKER BROMM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...of alcohol in the system. Isn't that true?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: That would be true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think these permit holders are aware of that, that that's what this bill would do?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: What I know we did was craft the best committee amendment we could. It's now a part of the bill. And I think there are any number of provisions contained therein which are not fully understood, maybe, by all parties.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator Brashear, personally I want to