

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 367

purpose. And they're all good purposes. I don't know how to resolve this at this minute, but reality is the money coming out of the Educational Trust Fund per this specific amendment simply means there will be \$300,000 less General Fund money for other proposals that we'll take up when we get to May. So I don't know an interim solution to this at this point, other than if this is the will of the body to do something about I guess we can put it into play sometime in the next 30 days.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Were you finished, Senator Schrock? Senator Janssen, followed by Senator Beutler and others, on the Schrock amendment.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Members of the Legislature, getting back to the purpose that this money will be used for, I can't think of a better environmental subject to use it on than what Senator Chambers is asking for. That money, \$300,000, to be spent on cleaning up something that those people, where they live, those constituents did not ask to have brought upon them. And spending \$300,000 there, you know, we've spent Environmental Trust Fund money to pay for lawyers in a lawsuit we've got with Kansas, you know, and to me what is more important? I guess you have to pay your legal fees, but, by golly, you know, are a bunch of kids in jeopardy of getting poisoned, is that more important than a lawsuit? I think it is. In my opinion, I think it is, and it's a lot better than some of the things the Environmental Trust Fund money has been spent for. It's a lot better purpose than buying some grocery store a box crusher, yes, a box crusher. That is where some of that Environmental Trust money went. That's been several years ago. So I guess it all depends upon where you put your priorities. If it comes out of the Education for Excellence Fund, that too is coming from lottery proceeds. The underlying area is that we're asking to preserve, with the original bill, we're asking to preserve those funds that are there and try to enhance them. When the voters voted on whether they want a lottery, they voted on just that. The statutes directed where it was to go. Now I guess you could stand here all day and argue the fact where we're going to come up with the dollars, but I believe there are sufficient funds there right now to fund this. And if we enact this, hopefully there will be more funds, or at least they won't