

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 735

unaudited warehouses, the amount of additional bonding required...if they choose not to provide the audit, they would have to put up more bonding...would range in addition from \$25,000 to \$500,000 more security bonds, and the additional bond premium would range from \$188 to about \$2,000. So you can see that cost would be substantially less than what it would...a full-blown audit would cost. And we believe this is a reasonable compromise. Those who do not file audit statements pose a greater difficulty for the PSC to monitor, but with the other tools they have in the criteria that could call for more, we think that it's something they could use and would help putting the burden of just doing business, increasing this burden of doing business with some of our smaller elevators. That really basically is all the committee amendments is, is that one part on whether it's an audited or a financial review. And we appreciated the work of the different interests in this coming together with a compromise. And with that, I will close on committee amendments and ask you to vote favorably for them.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, would Senator Kremer answer some questions?

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Kremer, would you yield to some questions?

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I will.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Kremer, if we pass your bill with the amendments, or this committee bill with the amendments, will ethanol plants and feedlots have to have a grain warehouse license?

SENATOR KREMER: No, they don't.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Kremer, I've had some constituents get hurt pretty bad in a feedlot situation because their grain wasn't secured. Why would we not include them in a bill like this? And one of our biggest purchasers of grain in this state is the ethanol facilities and the new ones being proposed. Why