

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 743

citizens, people and constituents, everybody kept getting the calls, even if they were on the national list. I asked to be on the national list. I still get calls all the time. So that didn't work and that's why another couple dozen states went ahead and enacted no-call lists to pressure the federal government into getting this done, in part. And now we're hearing, well, now the federal government...so it's going to be okay, the federal government is going to take over. Well, some day that will all be smoothed out, but until that day comes I think we need to protect our citizens. And until the jurisdictions are merged, the federal list will never protect everybody, and so will...there will always be categories of people that we can feed into the federal system so that everybody is protected. I know that another aspect of the discussion from years ago was, well, people can hire technologies to protect them from these calls. Well, they can, but it's very expensive. It's far more than \$3 in three years, let me assure you. And, to me, it's terribly annoying that, first of all, these people sell technology to telemarketing companies that allow these calls to be made cheaply; then they devise a set of technologies so that consumers can protect themselves from getting calls generated by that first technology. And I suppose the next round of technology will have the solicitors developing technologies to overcome the last technology that was given to the consumer at ever-escalating prices. That's all ridiculous. As one of my colleagues told me, it's like an arms race, a technology arms race, where they get paid for every additional layer of technology. We don't need all that. We just need a simple list that says do not call me. We need the power of the law, not the power of technology. So I just wanted to add those dimensions to the debate to the extent that they were of interest to anybody. Thank you.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I do want to correct what was stated earlier. It was alluded to that this \$3 fee would go on a phone bill. That is not the case whatsoever. If that were the case, we would hear rumblings in here from telecom companies around the state asking for an exemption or to kill the bill entirely, and that's not the case.