

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 743

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members, no one here has said that some form of a no-call list is a bad idea. Senator Baker, Senator Beutler, Senator Smith say all their constituents want this. They're not wrong. I hear this from my constituents as well. But I also hear from my constituents, let's make government a little bit more efficient. I also hear from my constituents, stop adding taxes to my phone bill, and each one of these...if you want to go on the no-call list it's \$3. The federal no-call list is free. So what I'm trying to say to you is that the federal government has passed a national no-call list that goes into effect July 1. All right? And that covers those entities that are under the control of the Federal Trade Commission. All right? Senator Baker and Smith and those individuals said that that does not include airline, telephone calls, banking, and insurance phone calls. Those are regulated under the Federal Communications Commission, but the Federal Communications Commission is also seeking a federal do-not-call list. So my point...the point that I'm trying to make is the federal government has passed a federal no-call list that takes effect in July and, yet, we have to rush in, expand government here, because I don't know what Senator Baker, what fiscal note he's reading from, but the one that I am reading from says it's about \$87,000 or \$84,000 a year. The Public Service Commission has to hire 1.5 FTEs to handle this. And the point I'm trying to make is, is why don't we wait and see what the federal law does? Maybe for once the federal law will take care of the problem and we won't have to spend \$100,000 a year, grow the Public Service Commission by an employee and a half a year, and this problem will be solved. I agree with you. My constituents are tired of the phone calls as well. All right, nobody is disputing that. But if for once we can let the federal government take care of it instead of them telling us how to take care of it, what's wrong with that? To me, that's efficient government. It doesn't make any sense for us to go forward, expand government, raise everybody's phone bill by three bucks if they want on the no-call list, expand the Public Service Commission's duties, they'll have to add employees, when the federal government's bill will handle it. Senator Baker, Senator Smith, Senator Beutler, no one has said why it's a bad