

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 743

be protected would have to send in \$3. I think that's the same under both the committee amendment and the original green copy. I see they're nodding their heads. So that \$3 will eventually need to be put into a cash fund that will then be used to supply funding for the organization, for the PSC, that will be running and putting up the no-call list. Now, in addition to the \$3 that's coming from each consumer...and, by the way, in other states tens of thousands of people sign up on these no-call lists. If there's one thing I can assure you of it's this--there will be no more popular bill coming out of the Legislature this year than this no-call list. You won't find a person in your district that says, no, I think a no-call list is a bad idea. They all want it. They've been wanting it for years. We're way behind on this. Okay, so the other mechanism in the bill besides the consumer's \$3 is the fact that those who want to use the list, under the committee amendment, need to pay in \$25 per calendar quarter to use the list. And it's \$25 for organizations that have five...more than five employees, and it's \$6.25 per calendar quarter for a person or entity that has five or fewer employees. So the committee saw fit to divide up that fee, depending on whether it was a large or small organization, and in fact they added that there would be no fee to a nonprofit organization. Nonprofits are included under this bill, and that's something else we may want to talk about in time. But as between those two sets of fees, one on the consumer side and one on the solicitor side, that's what would fund the program. Now, eventually, I think we need to talk about whether, in the opinion of the Fiscal Office, those two things, as identified in the bill right now, would be enough. And I would give the rest of my time to Senator Smith, to start with, to comment on the funding aspect of the bill and whether he thinks we have it covered so that it's self funding.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Smith, you have a little over a minute.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Senator Beutler. I agree with you that there is a great need for this bill and that's why I want to take some action. Yes, in terms of the big picture of funding, it's going to rely more on the \$3 per customer than the ultimately \$25 for a telemarketer. The only arguments I've heard against that is, why should we have to pay anything? But