

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 743

national level to protect all citizens who wish to be protected. So I think Senator Baker and Senator Smith are going in the right direction. Having said that, let me say that with respect to the committee amendments, I think they are, by and large, excellent, but there are...there is at least one place where it is sadly lacking, and that is Section 8 of the committee amendments, and my amendment would replace Section 8 of the committee amendments. Section 8 is what happens, is the penalty provision of the committee amendments, and what it says is the commission, speaking with respect to the Public Service Commission, may initiate proceedings on its own motion relating to a person or entity who has committed, now listen to this, three or more violations within a 30-day period. Well, it's going to be very difficult to enforce something that requires the identification of three or more violations in a 30-day period, and that's what would be required under this particular provision. And then, even if you can get 30-day...if you can identify three knowing violations within a 30-day period, the penalty is up to \$2,500 for each violation, which if you had three violations, which is the minimum you need, is a penalty of about \$7,500. Well, under my amendment, this would be simplified so that you didn't need to reach the three, cumulative three violations in a 30-day period. It just says that the commission may initiate proceedings relating to a known violation, and then basically allows for the same types of penalties that the committee amendment does, except that it adopts the civil penalty maximum provision of \$11,000 instead of \$7,500, which is exactly parallel to what the federal government is doing with the national no-call list at the national level. So it's pretty much putting it in parallel with that provision so that it applies also not only to interstate violations but "intra," within the state, violations. It's not a huge change, but I think that it is simply unreasonable to suggest that a regulatory agency should be able to identify three violations in a 30-day period. I don't know of any state that has such an awkward and unusable civil penalty provision. There's no criminal provisions here. These are all civil penalties of one type or another. And if...I think there are some other things that are worthy of discussion in the committee amendment and I would like to generate some discussion on those, but if this amendment is suitable, this would be the only amendment I would