

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003

LB 743

body will be supportive of the committee's work. Before I get into what my amendment does, though, it deals with the penalty section. Let me respond a little bit to what Senator Bourne is talking about. A number of years ago, when I first had this bill, which was very similar to the one in front of you today, it was modeled after the first state to do a no-call list, which was Georgia. And since then, I don't know, a couple dozen states, I think a majority of the states now have...or close to a majority now have no-call lists, and this continual buildup among the states of no-call lists is what has resulted and forced the federal government to move in the direction of a national no-call list. Now, if a national no-call list is finally made totally comprehensive, that would be the very best thing that we could have, and that's what everybody has been pushing towards. But to get there we need to continue the pressure. And, depending on how far they go, we may actually need to work the state no-call list with and in conjunction with the national no-call list, not duplicative, but in unison with. Because, first of all, as has been indicated, one of the two national agencies which has to bring its forces to bear, bring its jurisdiction to bear for a national list, has not yet done so and it may be six months to a year before they do so. Hopefully, they will in fact do so, and that will provide a fairly comprehensive national system for the first time, if you're dealing with calls between states. But the area that they are not yet and may never have jurisdiction over or attempt to organize is that area that has to do with calls generated within the state to people within the state. And here in Nebraska, we have a large number of telemarketing organizations and so that business of calls emanating from calling organizations within the state to Nebraskans would be very, very significant. And so the idea of a state no-call list would be to work in conjunction with the national list and eventually, so that if you call one place or another, you're on both lists, would be the ideal. But in order to keep moving in that direction, and believe me the only reason we're moving in this direction is because of the pressure put on by the states, and so acting...enacting a state no-call list I think makes perfect sense and is what we need to do to keep the movement going so that eventually we have one system nationally that is not duplicative but which works back and forth between state and the