to what the federal government is already doing or will be doing this summer? And this here article is from...oh, it was on the Internet. I've got a copy. It's from a Privacy Rights Clearinghouse and it talked about, starting April 1, Californians could preregister on-line for telemarketing do-not-call lists, but because of the development of a national do-not-call registry at roughly the same time, the list will not be activated until October of 2003. Now this is what I think is very relevant and it makes me think that the California Legislature is proactive and I'm wondering if we should follow suit. The California legislator...Legislature mandated, in 2002, that the Attorney General establish a statewide do-not-call list. That was to have been operational by April 1 of this year, but in December of 2002, the Federal Trade Commission announced that it would establish a national do-not-call list that would encompass all of the states, a national do-not-call list that would encompass all of the states. Rather than...and this is the part that I think is innovative...rather than duplicate efforts with the federal government and confuse consumers, the California Attorney General's Office has since joined with the FTC in order to merge the state's efforts with the federal list. So basically what's happened is California, trying to be proactive, efficient in government, abandoned their effort to establish a statewide do-not-call list that duplicated the federal government's do-not-call list. So my question is, again, here we're facing a budget deficit of epic proportions, I think that's a fair word, Senator Friend,...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...$800 million, and we're putting new duties on our Public Service Commission when the federal government is already doing this. And I don't know if Senator Smith or Senator Baker...since we're on the committee amendments, maybe I will ask Senator Baker if he'll yield to a question.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Baker.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Baker,...