

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 9, 2003 LB 303

would be accomplished by the amendment other than making it more problematic in its application.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, let me ask you, Senator. It doesn't say the...it doesn't say a particular foundation or a particular organization. If there is one large organization that has credibility with everybody, maybe we should just identify that course. I guess, you know, my concern is, and you can tell me how or why I shouldn't have that concern, but there is no definition of what nationally recognized means as far as I can see, and one person's idea of what's nationally recognized may not be another person's. I mean, is it possible to name a specific organization if there is one that we're talking about, or is it possible to say a national organization that's approved by some state DMV office, so that we know at least some more objective group has taken a look at the materials that are being...that are discerned as adequate for purposes of training?

SENATOR SMITH: I would want to look at that, but I still think it would be problematic because names change and, you know, do we have to go in and change our statutes just because the national safety foundation, a recognized motorcycle safety foundation decides to change their name slightly. I mean, that would be something that would be my initial response and negative reaction.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But the reason that you think it's problematic is because this proof of completion...okay, all riders, even riders from outside of the state, would have to have a proof of completion, is that right?

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: And they would have to carry that with them, right?

SENATOR SMITH: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And you're saying that if it's a Nebraska licensed rider, it wouldn't be a problem?