

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2003

LB 303

gambling or casinos or something along that lines. In other words, be careful what you wish for because there's generally a counter-argument for everything that you wish for. Is that close, Senator Schimek? The reality is, is that in 1986 the voters repealed the seat belt law that we have. They did. They said, no, we don't want it. That was a close vote. But then the Legislature stepped in and said, we know better and we're going to put it back on the books, and that's what happened. So the argument on this bill is going to be independent of the other arguments that we have. I understand that, because I've heard some pretty interesting arguments on a number of bills this year. I've made some of them, probably, that don't hold weight in other areas. But I think what Senator Smith is trying to propose, I think the information that he has sent out is on the facts, and it's ironic that the individuals opposing the bill, using the same facts that Senator Smith are doing but twisting them just enough to try and prove their point. I think the facts speak for themselves. I think there are benefits for individuals who want to have the opportunity not to wear a helmet for whatever reasons that they see. They have just as great of insurance coverage as individuals who are driving in vehicles so, from that standpoint, I think it's unfair to single these individuals out. I think they're responsible individuals. I think those facts have been proven. So I'll continue to listen to the discussion. I hope it centers around facts and I hope it centers around the realities. I think Senator Smith has gathered information...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...from other states that is able to be pointed to as fact. One of the pieces of information that Senator Smith did pass out that I read was that in Florida, when they actually repealed their helmet law, the fatalities went down. So it's not a one size fits all, but there are some benefits and drawbacks, obviously, and I think that's what we need to weigh. I don't think the discussion in opposition of this bill has been able to point to contract...concrete facts that say one way or the other. I think Senator Smith, on the other hand, has. And so I'm going to continue to listen, supporting the bill. Thank you.