

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 8, 2003 LB 303

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: We're talking about public policy here. And I also pointed out where false confidence via a helmet is a lethal scenario when that's coupled with horsepower on a motorcycle. And when we lead people to think that a helmet will save their life if they have an accident, or save a...prevent a brain injury if they have an accident going down the interstate, even less than the speed limit, we're misleading them. We are misleading them when we purport helmets to be the cure-all, as has been presented by a few members this morning. My position on this has strengthened over the last few years,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR SMITH: ...with more information. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Bourne, followed by Senator Jensen and eight others.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. When I left the house this morning, I was disturbed to see that it was 30 degrees and the ground was covered with snow. Senator Aguilar, you might ask why, and that's probably because Dan Lynch is not on the golf course this morning. He's probably at home watching this. But that being said, you still have to do what you think is right. And I think that it's right that we repeal the motorcycle helmet law. I believe that government should be as minimally involved in people's lives as is necessary. And I don't believe that we should hold people's hands. Point of clarification: Senator Kruse talked about Dan Lynch's son that was...had a head injury in an accident, and that's absolutely true. However, that was in a car accident, not a motorcycle accident. Be that as it may, I do rise in support of the bill. I am opposed to the amendment. Even though I think individuals should have long-term care insurance, I think that what we're doing here with this amendment is singling people out. And I'm opposed to it for basically two reasons. The first reason I'm opposed to it is that in some instances long-term care insurance is not available. If you're a 60-year-old individual and you have, say, high blood pressure