

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003 LB 754

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if it's done,...

SENATOR BURLING: ...like if it came from the Department of Ag.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If it's done, how is the public going to distinguish one from the other? Isn't that where confusion will come in?

SENATOR BURLING: Well, there might be some confusion there. But just check with the Department of Agriculture and see if that's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you be in favor of putting in language that prohibits any other county from designating itself a livestock friendly county unless it has gotten this certification from the Department of Agriculture? Would you be in favor of that?

SENATOR BURLING: Well, I think we essentially say that. I don't think it's necessary to add any wording.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But a county can do that now. So you do think that all 93 counties ought to be able to continue designating themselves, if they choose, as livestock friendly counties. Correct?

SENATOR BURLING: They ought to be able to choose to do that based on the criteria set up by the Department of Agriculture, after a public hearing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But right now they don't need any criteria. They don't need a public hearing. They can just do it. All that other stuff is necessary if they're going to seek that designation from the Department of Agriculture. But if they want to cut through all that red tape, all they have to do is go tomorrow and pass a resolution that they're a livestock friendly county, and they don't have to jump through all those hoops. Why wouldn't a county do that instead of jumping through all these hoops?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.