

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003

LB 754

conditions had been met, so there are other facts that can be mentioned. If it is not necessary to say anything about those conditions that have been identified, but facts of some kind must be stated, I would like to have somebody explain to me what facts they have in mind. When I say they, I meant those who support this bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on. This is your amendment, FA1261. You're recognized to close, if you care to.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, Senator Bromm has agreed with this amendment. It's the one that inserts that word "reasonable," so I'm asking that you adopt it. Then a couple of other amendments that I'm going to offer should not be controversial. After that, I have what I call maybe a nuclear amendment that will generate a bit of discussion perhaps, and perhaps not. To make the best use of this closing time that I can, I want to go back to what Senator Bromm and I had discussed on pages 5 and 6. I don't know that those two provisions of the amendment taken together make much sense. On page 5, the determination shall be issued in a timely manner upon request of the applicant, so let us say that the applicant requested and the request was granted and the determination was issued in a timely manner and the permit request was denied. On page 6, when if there's a decision to either grant or deny the permit, a statement must be issued of factual findings based on the record of proceedings that support the granting or denial of the conditional use permit. The facts, let us say, are these. Ten conditions had to be met. The applicant did not meet six of them. It is not necessary for the board to state that, based on what is found on page 5, but based on what is found on page 6 the board is required to mention those things because those are the things on which the decision was based. So either the board must state those things, or the board is free not to state them. According to page 5, the board does not have to mention them; but according to page 6, the board is required to mention them. I'm missing something, or something is missing here. It makes me think about one of Senator Foley's bills. No, I think this might have been Senator Smith's bill on cloning and it talked about a human that had existed or will exist, or something like