

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003            LB 754

SPEAKER BROMM:     The intent is that the board, planning commission or county board, as the case may be, would say to the applicant, we will issue the permit if you satisfy these conditions, one, two, three, four, whatever they might be. That would be designed by the board itself. So then the applicant will be able to decide, you know, do I want to bite the bullet and can I meet those conditions, or should I move on and not waste the money to... you know, or time?

SENATOR CHAMBERS:   But, Senator Bromm, doesn't that come only at the statement issuing point where the facts are given as to why there's a turndown? Otherwise, I don't see any language, and I might be missing it, that says that these things must be laid out in advance...

SPEAKER BROMM:     Well,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS:   ...in a way different from what the law is now.

SPEAKER BROMM:     ...that's page 5,...

SENATOR CUDABACK:   Time.

SPEAKER BROMM:     ...lines 15 to 20, roughly.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:   Thank you, and the time is up.     Thank you, Senator Bromm.

SENATOR CUDABACK:   Thank you, Senator Chambers.     Senator Wehrbein, followed by Senator McDonald.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN:   Mr. President, members of the body, I was going to call the question, but I decided I'd jump in one more time. I think we can go on with Senator Chambers' amendment, which I would support, but I want to add a few comments and particularly asking...directed at Senator Chambers, because he's asking the question. And I would say, speaking for myself, and obviously none of us can speak for the body, is the fact that this is something that sends as a message, if you will, that