

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003

LB 754

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members, I will let Senator Chambers finish with his train of thought, if he so desires.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, if you desire, you may go ahead.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Hartnett. I don't believe Douglas County is livestock unfriendly. In Omaha, they used to have the Stock Markets (sic). There's still some work in a packing plant over there, because if you're in that area at the right time you can smell it. I don't know exactly the location and I've not followed my olfactory talent to locate the source of that distinctive characteristic odor. So how would Douglas County get a livestock friendly designation? By saying the stench is tolerated? Nothing will be done by the city council to prohibit an operation that produces such an unpleasant...well, all stenches are unpleasant. Does that make Douglas County livestock friendly? Will there be anything in the rules and regulations relative to the toleration of excessive dust, flies, other little critters that attend livestock production and activity, the odors? Must a county certify that it will tolerate those without complaining, criticizing, or trying in any way to abate them or requiring the operator to abate those nuisances? Or will the county have to take a position that none of those things will be declared nuisances? Which means that citizens who may be adversely affected can do nothing except bear them, not grinning and bearing, but simply bearing them, maybe grimacing and bearing. I'd like to ask Senator Bromm a question or two now, because I may have enough time to get some kind of answer.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you yield?

SPEAKER BROMM: (Microphone malfunction) Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, I had asked earlier what this bill changes from what exists now other than the part about the designation of livestock friendly.