

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003

LB 754

what is before us. If this bill is designed to get the nose of the camel into the tent, I can understand its being here. And here's what I mean by that. If you get this on the books, then you've got a framework in which to start providing restrictions...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...on local control when it comes to zoning. You can then define the word "timely" to say, within however many number of days that an application is submitted, or after a public hearing, that a decision must be rendered. Now, that's not saying what the decision must be yet. That's step three. You first require them to render a decision. Then a year or so later, you say, if the requirements that are set out by the zoning board or commission are met, then a permit shall be granted. And then you can go to court and get a directive against the county to allow that permit to be granted. So this might be the "nose in the tent" part of the operation. And sometimes those carrying legislation do not understand fully what those who are advocating it have in mind.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you've heard the expression, you can't BS a BSer? I'm not a BSer, but I cannot be BSed. I see through it...Mr. President, did you say time?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I did, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm sorry. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Hartnett on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, members of the body, I want...I simply want to...I missed the first part of it. Talking to some people in the lobby, say that we really maybe don't need this bill, that we have in the state high production in areas. And I'll read the areas, and maybe Senator Bromm or Senator Kremer or some of the people who represent rural area. That we're number one, as related to 2002, commercial livestock