

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003      LB 754

to respond to that idea, because I think that's a way we can get out of this.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kremer, you have about two minutes.

SENATOR KREMER: Yes, I think what you mention is correct. I would think your application to the county and to DEQ would be identical. It would determine...it would say how many head you wanted to have in your operation. It would tell you the location, and then whatever might be pertinent as far as setbacks, that you're adhering to all the requirements from setbacks, best management practices as far as waste disposal, the location of the waste disposal. I would think that your applications would be identical to both, because it really is the same application,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR KREMER: ...but two different agencies. If Senator Bromm would want to add to that, why, he...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Beutler, I think that's a very good question and explanation of what could and I think should take place. If the applicant has the application and it sets out certain proposals and requirements, numbers and so on, and the county bases their approval or disapproval on that, they should be able to expect that that's what's going to be presented to DEQ. And if it changes, then the county should get another bite at the apple on whether they wish to accommodate that or not. If that answers your question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm and Senator Kremer and Senator Beutler, the three of you, I guess. Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. I wanted to be sure you were calling on Chambers, not Kremer. And sometimes we do have a little difficulty in getting that name correct when