

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 7, 2003

LB 754

contacting the appropriate expert or authority to help them craft the best plan, along with the applicant, for the situation. So that's...you've heard and I know a press release went out over the weekend that Minnesota had a livestock friendly designation that they passed in their state last year and the press release, which was generated by some who seem to be opposed to talking about livestock expansion or production in this state, said that Minnesota was thinking of repealing the law already and that no county had asked for such designation. There's some untruth involved with this release. The facts are that a bill was introduced by those who may have some opposition to livestock in Minnesota to repeal the act. That bill has been killed. The Department of Ag has been requested to make presentations in 13 counties in Minnesota and they have another 9 scheduled, and they're making presentations and then the counties are evaluating the ramifications or benefits or detriments, if there are any, to requesting such a designation. And so the process is very, very new in Minnesota and it's certainly too early to evaluate its effectiveness, but it is not true that it's being repealed already. So I would also...this amendment also strikes the E clause. I see no reason that we need the E clause in the bill and so the amendment, in addition to the clarification on the county board issuance of a permit, the second thing this amendment does is to strike the emergency clause. So...how much time do I have left, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: About one and a half minutes, Senator Bromm.

SPEAKER BROMM: Okay. If Senator Burling would like that time, I would yield it to him, and if he would care for it. Senator Burling, I...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Burling.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR BURLING: Yes, thank you, Senator Bromm. In this short of a time I think I'll just say that I have my light on to speak again and so I'll just say now that I support the amendment. As he says, it's something that we talked about and worked on a long time, and the amendment merely clears up what the intent