

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003

LR 11

challengeable. You are challenging the fact that I have ruled that this is divisible, and you are challenging that it is not divisible. So, Senator Pederson, you can open on your challenge of the Chair's ruling.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Mr. President, the point of my challenge is the fact that this really is a total picture of what we are amending at this time. It's a...certainly two facets to it, but it's all part of the same proposal. The proposal is to allow the construction of saying the constitution provides that we will be enabling ourselves to make a ruling in connection with what we want to authorize by way of electronic gaming, and as a corollary to that same thing, it limits the number of potential casinos to four. And the purpose of having the opportunities to have the constitution amended to allow the electronic gaming is simply to give an opportunity to the balance of the state that would not be included if there are four casinos. And I think that there are not going to be more than four casinos in this state. The population wouldn't allow it, and I think, accordingly, that it's a total package, and I think it should be considered in that light. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Don Pederson. This is debatable. Each person gets to speak only once, so I will go according to lights that were on prior to this. We will take it from there. If you wish to speak to the challenge, you may or you can pass over. The first light is Senator Johnson. He waives off. Senator Landis. Senator Kremer. He waives off. Senator Janssen. He waives also. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, let me explain why I asked for a division of the question. First of all, just to go back to the rule book, it says that any member may call for a division of the question, which shall be divided if it comprehends propositions in substance so distinct that, one being taken away, a substantive proposition will remain for the decision of the Legislature. So, clearly, this is a dividable issue, but if you vote to overrule the Chair, you can do that. But it is dividable because if you take away the proposition to reduce casinos from four to eight, you still have a separate distinct proposition with regard to expanding video