

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003 LR 11

access to gambling to any places where it was already not accessible, easily accessible. And that was the reason why there was a concentration on locating casinos in the vicinity of the foreign casinos. If...the idea was not...the philosophy was not to spread gambling across Nebraska, but to simply make the pragmatic decision that we were going to intercept the Nebraska business already going to the foreign casinos. And for that reason, some of us were for a very few number of casinos located strategically, and not any wide-open form of gambling. It's going to be very difficult for those who want that limited form, and who are committed to the idea of limited access to gambling, to vote for something that's expanded in form. And likewise, it was difficult for those who wanted a wide-open form to come down to the General File compromise where we resolved upon eight casino locations, with the hope of including...or, with the possibility of including racetracks, for example, as conceivable locations. Now I think we have a very delicate balance existing. And if you go back to what was Senator Janssen's bill when this all started on General File, that's more or less what you would be doing with the Pederson amendment. Because as I understand it anyway, it authorizes video slot type gambling anywhere in the state, under the conditions described in his amendment. So I think that the overall proposition might lose a few votes if that is attached. Likewise, with Senator Bromm's, I think it would lose a few votes if it went in that direction. And so my preference at least would be to hold the course and to stay with a limited form and to hope that we can advance with that limited concept. And to the extent that anyone feels it's necessary to make adjustments to other parts of the state, again, I think that can be done through the process of the allocation of casino revenues when such time should come that there are casinos in existence. That adjustment can be made, that adjustment can be made as we deal with this subsequent to the people voting on it, should they ever get to vote on it and should they vote favorably on it. So I for one would hope that we would reject all amendments that would basically shift the balance very much in one direction or the other. And it's very easy for some who would like to sabotage the whole bill to say, yes, yes, yes, add this amendment, add that amendment. And the danger is that those people will vote for it, but then when it comes time to advance the bill, they won't vote to advance the