

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003

LR 11

going to somehow put out an idea that the voters are going to vote for, up or down, whatever, and that somehow that's going to prohibit somebody from placing a petition on the ballot. Now, might be that what we have proposed here is essentially what the petitioners would want, and that is slot machines, or whatever you want to call them, in all the local communities throughout the state. Then they wouldn't have to place a petition. And I don't know if that's the strategy behind this. But I'm not convinced that we're going to put out something that's going to essentially prohibit somebody from trying to place a petition on the ballot. So I'm still listening. I think it's an interesting discussion. I think Senator Louden has a proposal that we'll be talking about later as well. It's kind of interesting. I'm...my only concern with Senator Louden's future proposal is that they might vote to change the whole state to Mountain time zone if we go to Senator Bromm's amendment. Because he says you can only have four, and if we adopt Senator Louden's amendment later on, it says there would only be three...three of those would have to be in the Mountain time zone, so we'd have to vote that the whole state would be in Mountain time zone. So, interesting. But I'd like to talk about something particularly that continues to come up. The idea is, is that this is a good idea that we should put before the people. If you say yes to that, then you should fall on the sword and vote for LR 11CA in its current conglomerate and put it before the people, regardless of where your personal idea is. And there might be some value in that. But there's more to it than what your personal opinion is, because your...if you're like me, your opinion wasn't arrived at by just sitting around going, oh, that's a good idea, that's a bad idea. You look at the economic benefit, you look at the economic drawback. You look at the social effects, you look at the social benefits, and you look at all the other factors. And so to say that you have to put your personal opinions aside is probably oversimplifying the problem. Because there's a number of issues that come up. There's a number of considerations that need to be discussed. And I think we need to be prepared for them. I think with Senator Pederson's amendment, I think you have the opportunity, if you look at it in the true sense, that we're going to authorize these games, these electronic games of chance, which is defined. And I don't know if we're going to continue to put