

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003 LB 210

calendar year, they're under the act?

SPEAKER BROMM: They would be one of the six.

SENATOR BEUTLER: They would be one of the six in that case

SPEAKER BROMM: Um-hum.

SENATOR BEUTLER: All right. So each one has to work 65 days sometime during the year and then they would be included under the act.

SPEAKER BROMM: That's right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Or am I oversimplifying? That's basically it, isn't it?

SPEAKER BROMM: I don't think you are and if committee counsel corrects me I'll come back and tell you, but I don't think you are.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Do you think if one of those persons files a claim under the Workers' Compensation Act that it's going to be easy or difficult for people to determine...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...whether that operation should or should not be under the law based upon these kinds of calculations?

SPEAKER BROMM: I think it will be much, much easier than it is now and I think the employers will have their antennae up on this and I think if they come close to the line my guess is they're going to do their best to get coverage, because of the terrific exposure if it's determined by the court that they should have had it and don't.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Thank you very much, Senator.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Bromm, your light is next