

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003

LB 210

book or our computer now and we look and see who's for the bill, who's against the bill, who represents this, who does this, any opponents, and this particular bill here, you know, there weren't really any opponents that come and testified against the bill. So that's a plus. You had several in neutral, so that means they're kind of concerned, but you had quite a few proponents, and so that's what I weigh my judgment on here. Then you ask a few questions besides that. You don't need all this history. You don't need this because in here understands this. I'm just saying how I do it. On this particular measure here Senator Bromm has worked hard. I recall last year he brought this... something about like this and worked hard on it and we didn't pass it because we thought, well, maybe we better look and see, maybe some changes made. Well, he went back to the table, spent a lot of hours of his precious time and working on it, so I think it's in pretty good shape and so I'm going to support the bill itself. Some of these amendments, and you can't blame somebody from bringing amendments to it, that's fine, that's what we're here for, and everybody has ideas and so Senator Beutler has his ideas and so I respect his ability to stand up here and present these ideas and we look at them, we go from there. But the overall bill, it's a good bill so...and the...excuse me, I got a frog, the committee amendments are, you know, there for a purpose by Senator Brashear. And so, for now, I'll quit rambling, but Senator Bromm has done a lot of work on this and, anyway, look at it and read and study, I guess, and do what you think is right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Bromm, you're next to speak.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. And Senator Beutler had mentioned some of the language and so forth, the holding of the court in the most recent case, but also there have been prior cases and I wanted to go into that just a little bit and try to provide some of the rationale for why the various parties that were involved in trying to work on this the last couple years incorporated a standard that is designed to define when you cross over the line and are considered to be commercial. The court mentioned in their case that the farming exemption, farm and ranch exemption, was enacted in 1913...the farm