

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 2, 2003

LB 210

for them. Because in my opinion, there are some win-win situations here. But it takes work and it takes some development. If you look at this problem that we have, you know, you can...there are several ways of approaching it. You can say, dump it on the people who are the poorest in the whole sector, just dump it on the laborers, no workers' comp, no minimum wage, no unemployment insurance, nothing that pertains to the protection of workers everywhere, just let them bear the burden of it, because the employers can't afford it, arguably. And that's kind of the solution we're adopting here. Another solution would be to fund these out of General Funds. And that would be a solution I would propose to you today if we weren't in such dire circumstances. But we don't have a couple million dollars of General Funds. But that would be a solution that says, we can't, as we do in usual cases, put this on the sector of agriculture as we would put it on any other business sector, regardless of its profitability. We can't do that if we're going to make the policy decision that agriculture can't bear that burden. But if you don't want the employee, who can least stand the burden, to bear the burden, then you have to come back and put the burden on society generally, or perhaps on industry generally. Besides general funding, another solution would be to simply assess all insurance companies that pay workers' comp benefits an extra one percent, and put that into a fund which paid or supplemented or provided...there are a whole number of ways you can work at this...that provided that sort of basic, fundamental American coverage that all other workers have, to the ag worker. We can do that, and we should do that, if we make the decision that the industry itself can't bear the burden. It is just a shame, in my opinion, that the solution to this problem is to make the poorest people in society bear the burden, which I think is what we're doing. The purpose of the law was, first of all, to protect the workers. But the purpose of the law is also to protect the taxpayer. If somebody goes out in a farm situation...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and is permanently disabled so that they can't work, who pays for that? Who pays for that now? The taxpayer does. They go on Medicaid, they're taken care of by