

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2003

LB 265

Senator Hartnett. I'm going to go to another part of the bill, which relates to the revocation of a license, or this permit. But before I get into that, there is a word in the bill that goes all through me when I read it. On page 4, in line 13 is the word. But I'm going to read the statement, because it starts in line 12: knowledge of ways to avoid a criminal attack and to "diffuse," d-i-f-f-u-s-e, or control a violent confrontation. I think the word should be "defuse," d-e-f-u-s-e. "Diffuse" means to spread out. "Defuse" means to make less dangerous, to take the danger out of a situation. So I think that word should be d-e-f-u-s-e, instead of d-i-f-f-u-s-e. The words sound alike, but they are different, quite different. On page 8, I would like to ask Senator Brashear some questions, if he would yield. Well, let me ask Senator Tyson, because it's his bill.

SPEAKER BROMM: Senator Tyson, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Tyson,...

SENATOR TYSON: I will yield, but I will not surrender. Go ahead.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Senator Tyson, I'm going to ask you some questions from Section 10, which would be in lines 4 through 24. That's for the record. I'm not going to ask you questions about everything in it. This section relates to a peace officer initiating an action to have a permit revoked because he or she has probable cause to believe that the permit holder is not in compliance with the law. Why does this not state where the action should be filed? What court? Let me ask it a different way.

SENATOR TYSON: You're on, what, page 8?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. Let me ask it a different way. Since it does not specify the court in which the action should be filed,...

SENATOR TYSON: Why it does not?