

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2003 LB 265

intent of this...the intent of this, to keep guns out of bars, but not out of restaurants, like Ruby's.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So you would want concealed weapons in family style restaurants?

SENATOR TYSON: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you, Senator Tyson. You gave a direct answer to the question. I believe that the time that Senator Hartnett gave me has expired. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Senator Hartnett. Senator Price.

SENATOR PRICE: Mr. Speaker, and members of the body, I yield my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you have almost five minutes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Price, and thank you, Mr. President. I'm going to go to another issue that I had talked about this morning. And it relates to the application filing. I had introduced my remarks on this section. It's found on page 3, in lines 17 through 20. I had started by pointing out that I would rather see nobody carry a concealed weapon, but if that is going to be allowed and you're setting up a process, I do not think the process itself should be a trap for the unwary. So instead of leaving this naked language that is found in lines 17 through 20, I would want to modify it. I'm going to read the way the language would be modified if my amendments were adopted. You don't have to read along. Those who are paying attention, just listen and see if it sounds reasonable to you. Because the intent of this section is not to trick anybody, in my view. At least it shouldn't be. This is the way it would read if it were amended as I think it should be. No person applying for a permit to carry a concealed handgun shall knowingly and intentionally give false or misleading information or knowingly and intentionally offer false or misleading evidence of his or her identity. That seems