

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 1, 2003 LB 265

handgun in violation of the act.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the language that I had discussed with you earlier would not seem unreasonable, where the officer at that point... something like administrative license revocation, to get the person off the road. If the officer took possession of the pistol, gave a dated receipt which contained the information to identify the weapon and so forth, but also the location where the weapon would be deposited. And when the permit holder produced the proper identification, he or she could then recover possession of the firearm.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I think that seems entirely fair and reasonable and appropriate and in accordance with what we otherwise do at law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And my time is up. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, there are no other... Senator Chambers, you have spoken three times. I'm sorry. And there are no other lights on. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Mr. President, I would give my time to Senator Chambers so he can finish. I think he started on a very important dialogue here, and I'd like to have him complete it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Members of the Legislature, I had mentioned this morning and yesterday, from time to time, the fact that there are statements in the bill of things that are to take place, but if they don't, there is nothing provided in the bill that should happen. And I think if this bill is to be properly drafted, there should be something that takes place if a person who has in his or her possession a handgun that is concealed and has not done what the bill requires to be done. That is why I drafted an amendment, but we haven't gotten to that yet. There are other parts of the bill that need to be amended, in my view,