

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2003      LB 265

before me what I think should be amended. But if perchance we move too rapidly for me to get my amendments drafted to the part of the bill that constitutes one of the divisions, I won't be able to offer my amendment at that point, but I will be able, if the committee amendment is adopted, to then offer amendments to the adopted committee amendment. Although it seems like I'm taking time, which I am, I'm trying to do it in as efficient a way as I can under the circumstances. By that I mean, if I am able to offer my amendments to each division as it comes up, then all I have to do is talk a long time on the bill itself to take a lot of time. And I'm prepared to do that. But I do think there are some parts of the bill that the body ought to be willing to make corrections on. And I'm offering one of those amendments now. I think what I probably need to do is explain in more detail the rationale behind the amendment. Sometimes I feel that I'm the greatest transgressor in the Legislature, because the scriptures say, the way of the transgressor is hard. Working in, with, and on this Legislature sometimes is hard. My amendment would be on page 13 of the committee amendment. In line 14, I would strike the word "may" and put the word "shall". The reason I would do it is because the bill already mandates that the State Patrol adopt and promulgate rules and regulations to carry out provisions of this bill. When you have a section like Section 17, it is what I would call a general provision, because it would relate to all aspects of the law wherever it would apply, and you need not specify each one of those sections. When rules and regulations are to be adopted, they shall be adopted by the State Patrol.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what my amendment says. Strike the "may" and insert the "shall". I will not engage Senator Tyson in a back-and-forth, because he may think that this is a bad or damaging amendment. I see it as bringing consistency. But now that I think the amendment is clear in what it does, I will go back to my attack against the bill and upon the bill when I am recognized. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schimek on FA1225.